# Responsibilities Under Consent Legislation

PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE GUIDELINE







#### **Professional Practice Guideline**

CRTO publications contain practice parameters and standards which should be considered by all Ontario Respiratory Therapists in the care of their clients and in the practice of the profession. CRTO publications are developed in consultation with professional practice leaders and describe current professional expectations. It is important to note that these CRTO publications may be used by the CRTO or other bodies in determining whether appropriate standards of practice and professional responsibilities have been maintained.

Resources and references are hyperlinked to the Internet for convenience and referenced to encourage exploration of information related to individual areas of practice and/or interests. Bolded terms are defined in the Glossary.

It is important to note that employers may have policies related to an RT's ability to obtain consent from patients/clients. If an employer's policies are more restrictive than the CRTO's expectations, the RT must abide by the employer's policies. Where an employer's policies are more permissive than the expectations of the CRTO, the RT must adhere to the expectations of the CRTO.

2<sup>nd</sup> Revision: June 2014 Originally Published: 2008

## table of contents

Introduction About this Document	<b>4</b> 4
Health Care Consent Act (HCCA)	5
Substitute Decision Act (SDA) Treatment	<b>6</b>
Plan of Treatment Third Party Consent	<b>6</b>
Capacity Capacity Depends on the Treatment and Timing Wishes	<b>7</b> 8
Scenario: A Case of COPD Exacerbation	9
Consent Elements of Consent Informed Consent Implied and Expressed Consent	10 10 10 11
Age of Consent	12
Scenario: A Case of Questionable Home O <sub>2</sub>	13
Decision Tree for Obtaining Consent for Treatment	14
Incapacity	15
When can you Treat a Patient Without Consent?	16
Special Considerations - Scenario: CPR & Consent	17
Substitute Decision Maker (SDM)	18
Consent and Capacity Board (CCB)	19
Penalties for Failure to Comply with Consent Legislation	19
Glossary	20

#### Introduction

The <u>Health Care Consent Act</u> (HCCA) and the <u>Substitute Decisions Act</u> (SDA) describe the legislative requirements for **Respiratory Therapists** (RTs) in regards to obtaining consent. The *HCCA* specifies that regulated health professionals are to follow their College's guidelines relating to obtaining consent and the provision of information to patients/clients who are found to be incapable.

The HCCA deals with obtaining consent in the following circumstances:

- (i) for treatment,
- (ii) for admission to a care facility and
- (iii) for receiving personal assistance services.

In the context of Respiratory Therapy's scope of practice, RTs are generally accountable for obtaining consent (or ensuring that consent has been obtained) from patients/clients regarding treatment.

#### **About this Document**

Obtaining consent to treat a patient is embedded within the **College's** standards of practice, in other words, it would be professional misconduct to proceed to treat a patient without consent. The **CRTO's Standards of Practice** and **A Commitment to Ethical Practice** documents provide further guidance to RTs surrounding their obligation and accountability in obtaining consent. This Professional Practice Guideline (PPG) provides an overview of the legislation, specifically the HCCA and SDA for RTs. The information is structured to first describe how to obtain consent for treatment from a **capable** person, and then how to proceed with obtaining consent for an **incapable** person. The key terms in **bold** are defined in the Glossary.

Obtaining consent can be guided by a step-by-step process that RTs should consider every time they are faced with obtaining consent for treatment. A decision tree is included as a visual aid below to assist RTs in their process of obtaining consent and to complement the outline of the PPG. RTs must remember to act within their scope of practice and use their professional judgement to advocate for the best interests of their patients at all times.

#### The Health Care Consent Act (HCCA)

The purposes of the HCCA are:

- (a) to provide rules with respect to consent to treatment that apply consistently in all settings;
- (b) to facilitate treatment, admission to care facilities, and personal assistance services, for persons lacking the capacity to make decisions about such matters;
- (c) to enhance the autonomy of persons for whom treatment is proposed, persons for whom admission to a care facility is proposed and persons who are to receive personal assistance services by,
- (i) allowing those who have been found to be incapable to apply to a tribunal for a review of the finding,
- (ii) allowing incapable persons to request that a representative of their choice be appointed by the tribunal for the purpose of making decisions on their behalf concerning treatment, admission to a care facility or personal assistance services, and
- (iii) requiring that wishes with respect to treatment, admission to a care facility or personal assistance services, expressed by persons while capable and after attaining 16 years of age, be adhered to;
- (d) to promote communication and understanding between health practitioners and their patients or clients;
- (e) to ensure a significant role for supportive family members when a person lacks the capacity to make a decision about a treatment, admission to a care facility or a personal assistance service; and
- (f) to permit intervention by the Public Guardian and Trustee only as a last resort in decisions on behalf of incapable persons concerning treatment, admission to a care facility or personal assistance services. (HCCA 1996, c. 2, Sched. A, s. 1).

#### The Substitute Decision Act (SDA)

The SDA deals with decision making about personal care (and/or property) on behalf of incapable persons and involves the appointment of substitute decision makers (SDMs). Please see section on SDMs on pages 18 - 19.

#### **Treatment**

Generally speaking, RTs are accountable for obtaining consent for treatment. However, the underlying principle of obtaining consent also applies to obtaining consent for admission to a care facility and for receiving personal assistance services.

The HCCA defines a **treatment** to mean "anything that is done for a therapeutic, preventive, palliative, diagnostic, cosmetic or other health-related purpose, and includes a course of treatment, plan of treatment or community treatment plan". In this context, treatment does not include:

- (a) the assessment for the purpose of this Act of a person's capacity with respect to a treatment, admission to a care facility or a personal assistance service, the assessment for the purpose of the Substitute Decisions Act, 1992 of a person's capacity to manage property or a person's capacity for personal care, or the assessment of a person's capacity for any other purpose,
- (b) the assessment or examination of a person to determine the general nature of the person's condition,
- (c) the taking of a person's health history,
- (d) the communication of an assessment or diagnosis,
- (e) the admission of a person to a hospital or other facility,
- (f) a personal assistance service,
- (g) a treatment that in the circumstances poses little or no risk of harm to the person,
- (h) anything prescribed by the regulations as not constituting treatment. [HCCA 199 s.2(1)]

#### **Plan of Treatment**

The HCCA defines the plan of treatment to mean a plan that

- (a) is developed by one or more health practitioners,
- (b) deals with one or more of the health problems that a person has and may, in addition, deal with one or more of the health problems that the person is likely to have in the future given the person's current health condition, and
- (c) provides for the administration to the person of various treatments or courses of treatment and may, in addition, provide for the withholding or withdrawal of treatment in light of the person's current health condition. [HCCA 1996,s2(1)]

Therefore, the practitioner proposing a treatment or plan of treatment to a patient/client is responsible for obtaining consent. It is likely that the person proposing the treatment or plan of treatment will be the physician who orders the treatment. However, if an RT is proposing the plan of treatment, it is the RT's responsibility to obtain consent.

#### **Third-Party Consent**

The HCCA also allows for a plan of treatment to be proposed by one health care practitioner on behalf of the health care team involved in the plan. This is referred to as "third-party consent" and is acceptable practice provided the consent is informed and obtained prior to initiating the treatment. It is important to remember that if you are the one performing the procedure, you are accountable for ensuring that third-party consent has been obtained. If you have any doubt whether informed consent has been obtained, it is your professional obligation to obtain it, or to not proceed. The definitions of consent and informed consent are discussed in detail in the section about Consent.

Scenario: A patient / client comes to your laboratory for pulmonary function tests and says "My doctor sent me here for some tests."

You must ensure that your patient/client understand the purpose and risks of the tests and verify his/her consent for the procedure.

#### **Capacity**

Once the treatment has been ordered, an RT must decide if consent has been obtained or if they need to obtain consent before proceeding. In some situations, RTs may find themselves needing to determine whether or not a patient/client is in fact **capable** to consent to a treatment, and what to do if they suspect the patient is **incapable**.

There are many underlying - and sometimes ethical - principles involved in obtaining consent and determining a person's capacity to consent. One of the first principles to remember is the presumption of capacity. The *HCCA* states:

"A person is presumed to be capable with respect to treatment" and

"A person is entitled to rely on the presumption of capacity with respect to another person unless he or she has reasonable grounds to believe that the other person is incapable with respect to the treatment". [HCCA 1996, s.4 (2)(3)]

In other words, patients/clients are presumed capable unless, in your professional judgement, you have reasonable grounds to believe that they are incapable of consenting to the treatment or treatment plan you are proposing. The HCCA clearly states "no treatment without consent". If you believe your patient/client to be incapable, the next step is to find a substitute decision maker.

#### **Capacity Depends on the Treatment and the Timing**

Since informed consent is based on the patient/client <u>receiving and understanding</u> the information necessary to give consent, it is possible for an individual to be capable of giving consent for some treatment(s), while at the same time, the individual may be incapable of giving consent for other treatment(s) [HCCA 1996, s.15].

Patients/clients may also be able to give consent at one time, but not another. If an individual becomes capable after consent has been given or refused, the individual's choice then supersedes the previous decision [HCCA, s.16].

#### Wishes

The HCCA states that "a person may, while capable, express wishes with respect to treatment, admission to a care facility or a personal assistance service" [HCCA, s. 5]. Expressed wishes must be followed even if the patient/client subsequently becomes incapable.

#### Scenario: A Case of COPD Exacerbation

1. A COPD patient presenting to the Emergency Department with COPD exacerbation may be capable to consent to an ABG and the administration of oxygen, but may not understand the complexities of intubation.



2. The patient with COPD exacerbation may have been able to consent to an ABG when they arrived in the ED but may lose the capacity to consent as their condition worsens, with evidence of impending respiratory failure.



3. With the administration of oxygen, the condition of the patient with COPD exacerbation improves. The hypoxemia is corrected and in your professional judgement, their capacity to consent has returned. You are very concerned that this patient may require intubation.

#### What should you do?



In this case, the RT has made a professional judgement that their patient presenting with COPD exacerbation is capable, but does not understand the treatment – intubation. In addition, the patient's condition is unstable and their capacity to consent is dependent on their condition at any given time. In order to act in the best interest of the patient, the RT is obligated to seek help from the most responsible physician (and/or other members of the health care team) to discuss their clinical recommendations and findings of capacity. It may be necessary to seek consent from a Substitute Decision Maker (SDM) or to appoint an SDM for the patient. There is also a need to discuss the treatment of intubation with the patient or SDM to ensure that the treatment is understood, and informed consent for treatment (or withholding of treatment) has been received. Finally, it may be an opportune time to discuss the patient's wishes with the patient or SDM surrounding intubation and end of life decision-making.

#### Consent

#### **Elements of Consent**

Once you have determined that your patient is capable to consent to treatment, you must ensure that the four elements of consent are achieved.

The following are the elements required for consent to treatment:

- 1. Consent must relate to the treatment.
- 2. Consent must be informed.
- 3. Consent must be voluntary.
- 4. Consent must not be obtained through misrepresentation or fraud [*HCCA* 1996, s. 11].

#### **Informed Consent**

Informed consent is based on the concept that every person has the right to determine what will be done to his or her body. This is the principle of autonomy. Informed consent means that the information relating to the treatment must be received and understood by the patient/client. This may include communication other than speaking. For example, a patient/client with a hearing impairment may need the information provided in writing or by sign language. When a language barrier exists, an interpreter may be needed. It is your responsibility to meet your patient's/client's communication needs to the best of your ability. Using plain language in your explanation of the treatment is one way to facilitate understanding and appreciation of the information relayed.

#### Consent is informed if:

- the person received information about the treatment or procedure that a reasonable person in the same circumstances would require in order to make a decision about the treatment, including:
  - o the nature of the treatment;
  - o the expected benefits of the treatment;
  - o the material risks of the treatment;
  - o the material side effects of the treatment;
  - o alternative courses of action; and
  - o the likely consequences of not having the treatment, and
  - the person received answers to any questions they had about the treatment [HCCA, s. 11].

#### **Implied and Expressed Consent**

Consent may also be implied or expressed.

Implied consent is determined by the actions of the patient/client. Implied consent may be inferred when you are performing a procedure with minimal risk that the patient/client has consented to previously and acts in a manner that implies their consent. For example, if you inform your patient/client that you would like to auscultate his/her chest and he/she unbuttons his/her shirt, it may be reasonable to infer that he/she consents. If you have any doubt at all, you must ensure that the patient/client or his/her representative consents.

Expressed consent is more official and may be written or oral. For example, having a signed consent form or, having the patient consent to treatment verbally in front of another health care provider are expressed forms of consent. Unless circumstances dictate otherwise, you may presume that consent to a treatment includes consent to a variation in that treatment, provided that the nature, expected outcome, risks and side effects are not significantly different from the original proposed treatment. This presumption is also appropriate where the treatment is being continued in a different location [HCCA, section 12].

It is important to remember that consent may be withdrawn at any time and depends on the context of the situation (nature of the treatment, time and place) and the patient's/client's capacity to consent.

If you have reasonable grounds to believe your patient/client is incapable of giving informed consent you will have to obtain informed consent from a Substitute Decision Maker (SDM). (Please refer to the section on <u>Substitute Decision Makers</u>.)

For more information on Capacity Assessments you can visit the Ministry of The Attorney General's Capacity Assessment Office at: <a href="https://www.attorneygeneral.jus.gov.on.ca/english/family/pgt/capacity.asp">www.attorneygeneral.jus.gov.on.ca/english/family/pgt/capacity.asp</a>

RTs who are unsure whether or not a patient/client is capable to consent should seek assistance, likely from the prescriber of the treatment. Your employer may set out additional policies and procedures to direct your conduct in circumstances where you believe the patient/client is not capable of giving or withholding consent. Where those policies and procedures require you to refer your concerns to a physician or other health care professional then you may defer the finding of incapacity to that health care provider.

For more information on Evaluators and Assessors of Capacity in the Health Care Consent Act:

www.e-

laws.gov.on.ca/html/statutes/english/elaws statutes 96h02 e.htm

#### **Age of Consent**

The HCCA does not identify an age at which an individual may give or withhold consent. This is because the capacity to make independent health care decisions is not dependent on age, but more on the ability to understand the relative risks and benefits of a proposed plan of care. As is outlined in the Child and Family Services Act, "consent is an informed process and the patient needs to be able to understand the foreseeable risk of treatment". Therefore, a determination of capacity must be made for minor children and young adolescents in the same manner as it would be for an adult.


#### Scenario: A Case of Questionable Home O<sub>2</sub>

Scenario: Mr. Smith has just been discharged from hospital to home with an order for oxygen at 5 p.m. by nasal prongs at all times. You are the RT working for the local home oxygen service provider who has received the referral for this new client. You arrange with the discharge planning team at the hospital to meet Mr. Smith at his home to set up his oxygen system and to provide education and training. When you arrive at Mr. Smith's home, you meet with the transfer team and they assist Mr. Smith into the home where you take over. Mr. Smith seems confused and disoriented. He asks who you are and why the ambulance attendant left their tank and did not remove the tubes from his nose. After you introduce yourself, you ask Mr. Smith if the RT at the hospital did any home oxygen teaching. He replies that he cannot remember and that he "never agreed to home oxygen or any such thing". You spend some time explaining home oxygen, safety and teaching Mr. Smith how to use his concentrator and nasal prongs but you remain unsure if Mr. Smith has actually provided informed consent to this treatment plan. In the middle of your demonstration, Mr. Smith abruptly gets up and proceeds to put the kettle on his gas topped stove for tea. You avert the danger in the nick of time but decide that in your professional judgement, Mr. Smith is not capable of providing informed consent for home oxygen; you are genuinely concerned for his safety.

You share your concerns with Mr. Smith and ask him about a substitute decision maker. After a bit of questioning you find out that Mr. Smith is a widower, with no family or friends nearby. He has an estranged son who you try to get a hold of unsuccessfully. Mr. Smith it now indicating to you that he is "afraid of the oxygen machine" and that he wished he had someone to help him think straight. You contact the CCAC, but they are not scheduled to visit and conduct an assessment for three days -however, they will try to move up the appointment and get back to you ASAP. The ordering physician, who is also the most responsible physician (MRP), is not available. You are in a dilemma, you have another client to see but you can't leave Mr. Smith alone.

In this scenario, the RT has taken all of the right steps to consider the welfare of the patient/client above all else (see <u>Standards of Practice</u> p.14). They have deemed the client incapable of providing informed consent, informed the patient of their findings, attempted to contact an SDM and tried to engage the health care team in determining capacity and consent. RTs are not authorized to perform official capacity evaluations or assessments under the HCCA or SDA, respectively. At this time, the best action for the RT would be to either ensure contact with the ordering/MRP before leaving to arrange an alternate treatment plan, or arrange for the client to return to the hospital he was discharged from. This may be a difficult decisionor action, but the RT is ultimately accountable for acting in the best interest of the patient/client. For more information on ethical decision making, please see <u>A Commitment to Ethical Practice</u>.

#### **Decision Tree for Obtaining Consent to Treatment**



#### **Incapacity**

If you believe a patient/client is incapable regarding a proposed treatment or treatment plan, you must tell them that you feel they are incapable. If your patient/client regains capacity with respect to a specific treatment or plan of treatment after consent was obtained from a substitute decision-maker, you must then tell the patient/client that they were found to be incapable to consent at that time.

If your patient/client is sufficiently aware and able to understand at any time, you let them know of the incapacity finding. You are not required to tell your patient/client of a finding of incapacity if you believe they would not understand the information due to age (e.g. newborn) or health condition (e.g. obtunded).

When you inform a patient/client that there has been a finding of incapacity, you must:

- 1. Inform the patient/client of who believes they are not capable of making their own decisions, with respect to the proposed treatment;
- 2. Let them know who the substitute decision-maker will be when making treatment decisions on his/her behalf;
- 3. Tell the patient/client that they may appeal the finding of incapacity or the choice of substitute decision-maker to the:

Consent and Capacity Board 151 Bloor Street West, 10th Floor Toronto, Ontario M5S 2T5 www.ccboard.on.ca

- 4. Help the patient/client exercise their rights by (as a minimum) referring them to the staff person in the hospital or health facility who provides assistance, or advise the patient/client to contact a lawyer; and
- 5. Provide this information in a very helpful and sensitive manner that is approachable, neutral and non-judgemental.

The situation and circumstance must be properly communicated to the patient/client. Using an interpreter or communication aid may be necessary, depending on the individual patient/client needs.

#### When can you treat a patient without consent?

- 1. A treatment may be administered to an **incapable** patient/client without obtaining consent **only** if:
  - i. there is an emergency; AND
  - ii. the delay to obtain consent will prolong the suffering that the patient/client is apparently experiencing or will put the patient/client at risk of serious bodily harm [HCCA, section 25].
- 2. A treatment may be administered to an apparently **capable** patient/client without obtaining consent **only** if:
  - i. there is an emergency; AND
  - ii. the communication required to obtain informed consent is not possible due to a language barrier or communication disability; **AND**
  - iii. reasonable steps have been taken to enable communication; AND
  - iv. the delay to further means of enabling the communication will prolong the suffering that the patient/client is apparently experiencing or will put the patient/client at risk of serious bodily harm; AND
  - v. there is no reason to believe the person does not want the treatment [HCCA, section 25].
- 3. An examination or diagnostic procedure may be performed without obtaining consent provided that:
  - i. the examination or diagnostic procedure is necessary to determine whether or not there is an emergency; **AND**
  - ii. the health care provider believes the patient/client is incapable or that there is a communication barrier that reasonable efforts have not been able to alleviate [HCCA, section 25].

In any case where treatment is given without obtaining consent, you must:

- i. document your opinions with respect to capacity and all actions taken (see <u>Documentation PPG</u>); AND
- ii. continue the treatment only as long as it is reasonably necessary to find a substitute decision maker or to find a practical means to enable communication; AND
- iii. ensure that reasonable efforts are made to find a substitute decision-maker or a means of enabling communication [HCCA, section 25].

#### **Special Considerations**

In the unfortunate circumstance that this conversation does not occur between the patient and attending physician and you're confident the patient made an informed decision regarding CPR, under your professional obligation, CRTO policy and the CRTO's understanding of the Health Care Consent Act's intent you have an obligation to not initiate this intervention (CPR) and express the patient's wishes to the health care team. Conversely, if you are not confident that the patient made an informed decision, then you would participate in CPR.

Scenario: CPR & Consent: What should I do if a capable patient/client indicates to me that they would not want any heroic measures to save their life - such as cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) - but before the attending physician can write a "Do not resuscitate" order the patient suffers a cardiac/respiratory arrest?

In order to follow-through on patient's/client's wishes regarding CPR, it is imperative that the attending physician has a discussion with the patient/client as soon as possible. In the meantime, the CRTO recommends that you take the following actions:

- 1. At the time that a patient/client makes a statement indicating they do not want life saving measures, explain the nature of the treatment intervention (CPR), expected benefits, risks and the consequences of not receiving CPR if it is required to the patient. You may also want to briefly explain what's meant by CPR: e.g. intubation, ventilation, compressions, and defibrillation/cardioversion to establish that the patient/client has made an informed decision about what treatment they are declining.
- 2. Notify the attending physician immediately and describe what the patient/client has stated.
- 3. Ask another health care professional, preferably the patient's/client's nurse to witness what the patient has just articulated.
- 4. Document a description of the conversation you had with the patient/client in their chart.
- 5. Follow-up with the attending physician and confirm the resuscitation status of the patient/client.

It is important to recognize and acknowledge that patients/clients may not fully comprehend or appreciate the consequences of not having this life saving intervention. (*N.B.*, understanding and appreciating information are different concepts. Understanding is cognitive. Appreciating information means that patient/client grasps the practical implications of his or her decision. Informed consent requires both comprehending and appreciating the consequences of the decision.) To that end, it is very important that the patient's attending physician has the opportunity to discuss the likelihood of requiring CPR, the nature of the treatment, expected benefits, risks, alternative treatment options and the consequences of not receiving CPR if required.

#### **Substitute Decision Maker (SDM)**

A Substitute Decision Maker (SDM) is an individual who may give or withhold consent on behalf of an incapacitated patient/client. The following list of SDMs is in order of priority rank:

- 1. **Guardian** of the person, if he/she has authority to give or refuse consent to the treatment
- 2. **Attorney for personal care**, if he/she has the authority to give or refuse consent to the treatment
- 3. Representative appointed by the Consent and Capacity Board, if the representative has the authority to give or refuse consent to the treatment
- 4. **Spouse** or **partner**
- Child or parent of the incapable person or children's aid society or other guardian in place of a parent — this does not include a parent who only has right of access
- 6. A parent with right of access only
- 7. A brother or sister
- 8. Any other **relative**
- 9. The Public Guardian and Trustee [HCCA, section 20]

#### The substitute decision-maker must be:

- capable;
- at least 16 years old, unless he/she is the parent of the incapable person;
- not prohibited by court order or separation agreement from having access or giving or refusing consent;
- available; and
- willing to assume responsibility [HCCA, section 20].

#### The SDM must also:

- believe that no other person from a higher priority of substitute decision-maker exists, or if they exist they would not object to him or her making the
  decision if there is an individual who is from priority rank 1, 2, or 3 then
  this decision-maker <u>must</u> be the person making decisions;
- give or refuse consent in accordance with any known wishes expressed by the incapable person when capable and at least 16 years old; and
- act in the best interests of the incapable person if no wishes are known or it is impossible to comply with them [HCCA, section 21].

Where there are two individuals of the same priority of substitute decision-maker who disagree about whether to give or refuse consent for a treatment (and if their rank is ahead of any other potential substitute decision-maker), the Public Guardian and Trustee must then make the decision.

#### **Consent Capacity Board (CCB)**

A person who is found to be incapable may apply for a review of the finding to the Consent and Capacity Board [HCCA, s. 32]. The only exception to this right is if the person has a guardian with the authority to give or refuse consent to treatment, or the person has an attorney for personal care and the power of attorney waives the person's right to apply for a review [HCCA, s. 32].

Except in an emergency, you must not begin a treatment or procedure, and you must take reasonable steps to ensure that the treatment or procedure is not started, following a finding of incapacity until:

- 48 hours after you were first informed of an intended application to the Consent and Capacity Board, without an application to the Board being made;
- the application to the Board has been withdrawn;
- the Board has rendered its decision and none of the parties [ HCCA, s. 32 and 33] have indicated their intention to appeal;
- the time for initiating an appeal from a Board decision has expired without an appeal being launched after a party to the application has informed you that he/she intends to appeal; or
- the appeal of the Board decision has been finally disposed of. [HCCA, s. 18].

#### What are the Penalties for Failure to Comply with the Consent Legislation?

The *HCCA* provides protection from liability for health care practitioners who act in their belief, on reasonable grounds and in good faith, that there was consent for the actions they took [*HCCA*, section 29]. While it may be reasonable to presume that consent has been given unless you have overt signs that it wasn't, the CRTO recommends that you verify consent for any controlled act you perform, as a minimum.

It is professional misconduct to do "anything to a patient or client for a therapeutic, preventative, palliative, diagnostic, cosmetic or health-related purpose in a situation in which a consent is required by law, without such a consent" [O. Reg 753/93 - *Professional Misconduct*, paragraph 3].

A Member found guilty of professional misconduct **may** be subject to any one or more of the following [HPPC, s. 51(2)]:

- 1. Revocation of the registrant's certificate of registration;
- 2. Suspension of the registrant's certificate of registration for a specified period of time;
- 3. Imposition of terms, limitation or conditions on the registrant's certificate of registration;
- 4. Appearance before the panel for a reprimand;
- 5. A fine of up to \$35,000.

#### **Glossary**

**Attorney for Personal Care** - an attorney under a power of attorney for personal care given under the *Substitute Decisions Act*.

**Board** - the Consent and Capacity Board.

**Capable** - means mentally capable; a person is capable if they are able to understand the information that is relevant to making a decision about the treatment and are able to appreciate the reasonable foreseeable consequences of a decision or lack of decision — capacity has a corresponding meaning.

**College** - College of Respiratory Therapists of Ontario.

**CRTO** - College of Respiratory Therapists of Ontario.

**Emergency** - when the person for whom the treatment is proposed is apparently experiencing severe suffering or is at risk, if the treatment is not administered promptly, of sustaining serious bodily harm.

**Guardian of the Person** - a guardian of the person appointed under the *Substitute Decisions Act*.

**HPPC** - Health Professions Procedural Code — Schedule 2 of the Regulated Health Professions Act.

**Incapable** - mentally incapable with incapacity having a corresponding meaning.

**Partners** - individuals who have lived together for at least one year and have a close personal relationship that is of primary importance in both lives.

**Plan of Treatment** - means a plan that:

- is developed by one or more health practitioners
- deals with one or more health problems that an individual has, and may deal with one or more problems an individual is likely to have in the future given their current health
- allows for administration of various treatments or courses of treatment.

#### **Glossary**

**Relatives** - are related by blood, marriage or adoption.

Respiratory Care - equivalent to respiratory therapy.

**Respiratory Therapist** (RT) - is a Member of the CRTO and included RRT, GRT, PRT).

**Spouses** - are individuals who are married to each other, or who are living in a conjugal relationship and have lived together for at least one year, have a cohabitation agreement or are the parents (together) of a child. Individuals living apart and separate are not spouses.

**Treatment** - means anything that is done for a therapeutic, preventative, palliative, diagnostic, cosmetic or other health-related purpose, and includes a course of treatment or plan of treatment, but does not include:

- assessment of a person's capacity
- assessment or examination to determine the general nature of an individual's condition
- taking a health history
- · communicating an assessment or diagnosis
- admission to a hospital or other facility
- a personal assistance service
- a treatment that, in the circumstances, poses little or no risk of harm



#### College of Respiratory Therapists of Ontario

### Ordre des thérapeutes respiratoires de l'Ontario

This Professional Practice Guideline will be updated as new evidence emerges or as practice evolves. Comments on this guideline are welcome and should be addressed to:

#### Manager, Quality Practice

College of Respiratory Therapists of Ontario 180 Dundas Street West, Suite 2103 Toronto, Ontario M5G 1Z8

Tel (416) 591 7800

Toll Free 1-800-261-0528

Fax (416) 591-7890 Email questions@crto.on.ca